

Miami-Dade County Public Schools Division of Special Education Language Impaired K-12 Evaluation Team Report

	2024									
Student ID #			First	Name	M.I.	Last Name		Birth Dat	Birth Date	
Gender G		Gr	ade	School			Date Completed			
	<ul> <li>SECTION A: General Education and Minimum Evaluation Procedures per 6A-6.0331 and 6A-6.030121</li> <li>A variety of assessment tools and strategies were used to gather relevant functional, developmental, and academic information.</li> <li>A. Reviewed existing data: <ul> <li>Anecdotal</li> <li>Attendance</li> <li>Social</li> <li>Psychological</li> <li>Medical</li> <li>Achievement (classroom, district-wide assessments)</li> </ul> </li> <li>B. Gathered information from the sources below regarding the concerns AND description of language skills:</li> </ul>									
	C. Docum or more Date of	ent(s iente e set f SLF	s)/guar d and ting(s) P's obs		Udent's lan	t, when appropriate			_P) in one □ No	
2.	A. Well-de	eliver	red, so	or the <b>Response to Intervent</b> cientific, research-based instru- provided in the general or except	ction and	interventions address	,	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	
				umentation was present of sonable intervals reflecting the	•	•		🗌 Yes	🗌 No	
	C. Parent docum			ent and communication rega tti form.	rding ger	eral education inter	ventions were	🗌 Yes	🗌 No	
	D. Interventions were implemented for a reasonable period of time with fidelity and intensity that Yes H matched student needs.					🗌 No				
	E. The res			scientific, research-based inte	rvention(s)	implemented in Tier	2 are summarized I	pelow.		
	F. The res			scientific, research-based inte	rvention(s)	implemented in Tier	3 are summarized I	pelow.		
3.	One or mo	ore <b>s</b> t	tanda	rdized instrument(s) designe SLP (Summary Report FM-7418			* was	□ Yes	🗌 No	
				unable to use standardized to tionale for use, results obtained				sed AND	the report	
SE	CTION B:	Elig	ibility	Consideration for Language	Impaired	per 6A-6.030121				
Ва	sed on the	Gen	eral E	ducation Procedures and Minin	num Evalu	ation Components, th	e Team has deter	mined that	at:	
1.	<ol> <li>Due to deficits in the student's language skills, the student does not perform or function adequately for the student's chronological age or grade level standards in one or more of the following areas:</li> </ol>						student's			
	Oral Ex	•		Listening C	•		Social Interac			
	Written	Exp	ressio	n 🗌 Phonologie	cal Proces	sing	Reading Com	prehensio	n	
2.	the studer	en the student's response to scientific, research-based interventions, due to deficits in the student's language skills, student does not make sufficient progress to meet chronological age or grade level standards in one or more of the owing areas: Oral Expression								
	Written	•			•		Reading Com		n	

Student ID #		First Name	irst Name M.I. Last Name		Birth Date			
SECTION B: Eligibility Consideration for Language Impaired per 6A-6.030121 and 6A-6.0331 (continued)								
	3. Based on comprehensive language evaluation components, evidence of a language impairment is documented by:							
	☐ A. Observations show <b>significant</b> language deficits that interfere with the student's functioning or performance in the							
		onal environment.		Ū.	•			
	B. Standardized instrument(s)** reveal language deficits <b>significantly</b> below the mean in one or more of the areas listed below:							
	🗌 Pho	nology 🗌 Morphology 🗌 Synta	ix 🗆 Se	emantics 🛛 Pragmatics				
	**If an SLP was unable to use standardized tools, the scientific, research-based alternative used revealed significant language deficits in one or more of the areas listed above.							
	**For pragmatic language deficits not verified by standardized instrument(s), the SLP documented at least one additional observation after obtaining consent to evaluate AND information gathered from EACH of the following supports the result(s) of the observation(s):							
		Parent(s)/guardian(s) 🛛 🗌 Teacher	(s)	Student, when appropriate				
4.	-	thered from parent(s) or guardian(s results of the standardized instrument			🗌 Yes	🗌 No		
5.		deficits are NOT primarily the result on the tesult of the test of tes	of factors r	elated to chronological age, gender,	□ Yes	🗌 No		
6.	The student wa	as assessed in all areas related to a s	suspected	disability.	🗌 Yes	🗌 No		
7.	Technically so	und instruments were used as part of	the asses	sment.	🗌 Yes	🗌 No		
8.	No single measure	sure was used as the sole criterion fo	r eligibility	consideration.	🗌 Yes	🗌 No		
SECTION C: Determination of Eligibility for Language Impaired per 6A-6.030121 and 6A-6.0331								
1.	Are one or mor	re boxes checked in Section B, numb	er 1?		🗌 Yes	🗌 No		
2.	Are one or mor	re boxes checked in Section B, numb	er 2?		🗌 Yes	🗌 No		
3.	Are boxes in S	ection B, number 3A AND 3B checke	d?		Yes	🗌 No		
4.	Are the answe	rs to section B, numbers 4, 5, 6, 7, an	d 8 "Yes"?	?	Yes	🗌 No		
5.	intensive interv		sustained	ch-based interventions, or have the and substantial effort that may have	☐ Yes	🗌 No		
6.		ent demonstrate a need for special ed		ervices?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No		
	"Yes" is check	ted to all statements in Section C (ab	ove); the s	student meets eligibility criteria for Lang	uage Impa	ired.		
<ul> <li>"Yes" is checked to all statements in Section C (above); the student meets eligibility criteria for Language Impaired.</li> <li>"No" is checked to one or more statements in Section C (above); the student does not meet eligibility criteria for Language Impaired.</li> </ul>								
Signatures marked (*) indicate individuals who must be in attendance.								
Signature of Speech/Language Pathologist*       Date       Signature of General Education Teacher*       Date								

Signature of ESE Teacher/ESE Service Provider	Date	Signature of LEA Representative*	Date
Signature of Student	Date	Signature of Parent/Guardian	Date
Signature of Parent/Guardian	Date	Signature of	Date