

## Miami-Dade County Public Schools Division of Special Education Speech Impaired K-12 Evaluation Team Report

Student ID #	First	Name		M.I.	Last Name	-	Birth Dat	e	
Gender	Grade	School					Date Completed		
Gender	Giaut	JUNUUI				Date Completed			
Speech Impaired Area(s) to be Considered: Articulation Phonological Voice Fluency									
		Education Procedu	ires per 6A-	-6.0031					
☐ 1. Reviev		<u> </u>	_						
☐ Anecdotal ☐ Attendance ☐ Social ☐ Psychological ☐ Medical ☐ Achievement (classroom, district-wide assessments)									
	•	screenings were co	•				,		
•									
☐ Information was provided by Parent(s)/guardian(s)									
☐ No sir	ngle meas	ure was used as so	le criterion f	for eligibilit	y consideration.				
Technica	lly sound i	instruments were us	sed as part	of the asse	essment.				
☐ The stude	ent was as	ssessed in all areas	related to a	suspecte	d disability.				
The evaluations were administered by trained personnel in accordance with the instructions provided by the publisher of the assessments.									
		om the <b>Resnonse t</b>	o Interventi	ion (Rti) n	rocess verifies (data a	attached):			
		-			nterventions addressi	•	☐ Yes	☐ No	
		provided in the gene				(5)			
		•		•	measures of performersponse to intervention		☐ Yes	☐ No	
C. Parent docume		ent and communi	cation rega	rding ger	eral education inter	ventions were	☐ Yes	☐ No	
	ntions wer	•	a reasonabl	le period o	of time with fidelity and	d intensity that	☐ Yes	☐ No	
E. The results of the scientific, research-based intervention(s) implemented in Tier 2 are summarized below.									
The stu	dent								
F. The res	ults of the	scientific, research	-based inter	rvention(s)	implemented in Tier 3	3 are summarized	below.		
The stu	dent								
		rdized instrument( SLP (Summary Rep			ure language skills** ve attached).	'was	☐ Yes	☐ No	
					ntific, research-based s for recommendation		sed AND	the report	
SECTION B: N	/linimum	Evaluation Compo	nents per 6	6A-6.0301	2				
Speech Sound	d Disorde	r							
1. Informa	ation was	gathered from the	sources belo	ow regardi	ng the concerns and c	lescription of spee	ch charac	teristics:	
☐ Parent(s)/guardian(s) ☐ Teacher(s)/other service provider(s) ☐ Child/student, when appropriate									
	☐ 2. Documented and dated observation(s) of the student's speech characteristics were conducted in connected speech or conversation by a speech-language pathologist (SLP) (attached):								
Date o	f SLP's ob	oservation:			of SLP's observation: d observation optional)				

<u> </u>		E: (N)				D. (1 D. )		
Student	ID	First Name	M.I.	Last Name		Birth Date		
SECTIO	N B: Min	imum Evaluation Components per	6A-6.030	12 (continued)				
□ 3.	Examinat	ion of the oral mechanism structure a	and functio	n was completed.				
□ 4.	Standard	ized Instrument(s):						
	☐ Articul	ation			Score	e		
		ological			Score	e		
Fluency	/							
□ 1.		on was gathered from the sources be eech behaviors:	elow regard	ding the motor aspects, s	tudent's attitude	, and social impact		
	☐ Paren	t(s)/guardian(s)	ther servic	e provider(s)	ild/student, wher	n appropriate		
□ 2.	A minimum of two documented and dated observation(s) of the student's speech and secondary behaviors were completed by an SLP more than one setting including the typical learning environment (attached):							
	Date of S	LP's observation:		of SLP's observation: nd observation required)				
□ 3.	Examinat	ion of the oral mechanism structure a	and functio	n was completed.				
☐ 4.	Assessments were completed in all of the following areas:							
	☐ Motor	aspects of the speech behaviors	□s	tudent's attitude regarding	the speech bel	haviors		
	☐ Social	impact of the speech behaviors		ducational impact of the s	peech behavior	S		
□ 5.	•	ample of a minimum of 300-500 word nust include rationale for a smaller sa		llected and analyzed.				
Voice								
□ 1.		on was gathered from the sources belt(s)/guardian(s)	•		•			
По				. ,	ild/student, wher			
LJ 2.		ted and dated observation(s) of the s		Г	John Guerra	i (SLP).		
	Date of S	LP's observation:		of SLP's observation:nd observation optional)				
□ 3.	Examinat	ion of the oral mechanism structure a	and functio	n was completed.				
☐ 4.	•	a medical examination of laryngeal vide a description of the state of ton.		•	•			
	Physician	:		Date o	of Examination:			
SECTIC	N C: Crit	eria for Eligibility per 6A-6.0331(6)	and 6A-6.0	03012				
		eral Education Procedures AND M		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	the following crit	teria have been		
,		determination, <b>ALL</b> must be met in ea	ach area c	onsidered):				
Speech	Sound D	isorder						
□ 1.	-	ech sound disorder has a <b>significar</b> e to familiar listeners or within known		on the student's intelligib	ility, although th	ne student may be		
□ 2.	<ol> <li>The student's phonetic or phonological inventory is significantly below that expected for their chronological age of developmental level based on normative data;</li> </ol>							
□ 3.	3. The speech sound disorder has an adverse effect on the student's ability to perform and /or function in the student's							
□ 4.	typical learning environment, thereby demonstrating the need for exceptional student education; and . The speech sound disorder is not primarily the result of factors related to chronological age, gender, culture ethnicity, or limited English proficiency.							

Student ID	First Name		M.I.	Last Name	Birth D	ate		
SECTION C: Cri	l teria for Eligibility per 6A-6.	.0331(6) aı	nd 6A-6.	03012 (continued)				
Fluency Disorde	r							
of phras secondar  2. The fluer environm  3. The dysf	es, whole words, syllables, ry behaviors such as struggle ncy disorder has an <b>advers</b> nent, thereby demonstrating t	, and pho e and avoic e effect of he need fo	nemes, dance ments the store that the store th	nt speech behaviors. The dysfluency means prolongations, blocks, and circumloculary be present; udent's ability to perform and/or functional student education; and ted to chronological age, gender, cultured	ntions.	Additionally, educational		
Voice Disorder								
of phona		aracteristic	cs may	production of quality, pitch, loudness, re include inappropriate range, inflection,				
condition		ely affects	the stu	at are found to be the direct result or syndent's ability to perform and/or functionapeutic intervention;	•			
	☐ 3. The voice disorder has an <b>adverse effect</b> on the student's ability to perform and/or function in the educational environment, thereby demonstrating the need for exceptional student education; and							
• •	ical voice characteristics are or limited English proficiency	•	rily the r	esult of factors related to chronological	age, gen	der, culture,		
SECTION D: Det	termination of Eligibility for	Speech I	mpaired	per 6A-6.0331(6) and 6A-6.03012				
1. Are all four boxes checked in one or more subsections in Section C?								
	athered from parents/guardiand observations?	ans and te	eachers	supports the results of standardized	☐ Yes	□ No		
<ol><li>The student w</li></ol>	as assessed in all areas rela	ted to a su	spected	disability?	☐ Yes	☐ No		
•	eficits are NOT primarily the ity, or limited English proficie		factors	related to chronological age, gender,	☐ Yes	□ No		
intensive inter	ventions been effective but	required si	ustained	rch-based interventions, or have the land substantial effort that may have	☐ Yes	□ No		
included the provision of specially designed instruction?  6. Does the student demonstrate a need for special education services?						□ No		
☐ "Yes" is ched	cked to <b>all</b> statements in <b>Sec</b>	tion D (ab	ove); the	e student <b>meets</b> eligibility criteria for Spe	ech Impa	aired.		
□ "No" is ched Speech Impa		ents in <b>Se</b>	ection D	(above); the student does not meet	eligibility	criteria for		
Signatures marke	ed (*) indicate individuals who	must be in	n attend	ance.				
Signature of Speech/Language Pathologist*  Date  Signature of General Education Teacher*						Date		
Signature of ESE 7	Date		Signature of LEA Representative*	Da	Date			
Signature of Student Date Signature				Signature of Parent/Guardian		Date		
Signature of Paren	t/Guardian	Date		Signature of		ate		